## 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00210-DJC	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14 15 16	JULIO NEVAREZ-ERUNEZ and JUAN NIEBLA-OSUNA,  Defendants.	DATE: April 24, 2023 TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta	
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Emily G.		
19	Sauvageau, together with Attorney Hannah Labaree, counsel for defendant Julio Nevarez-Erunez, and		
20	Attorney Dina Santos, counsel for defendant Juan Niebla-Osuna, hereby stipulate as follows:		
21	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 24, 2023 before the Honorable		
22   23	Kimberly J. Mueller. On April 4, 2023, this matter was reassigned to the Honorable Daniel J.		
23 24	Calabretta.		
25	2. By this stipulation, the parties move to continue the status conference until May 11, 2023		
26	at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between April 24, 2023, and May 11, 2023, under Local Code T4.		
27	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
28	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes law enforcement reports, lab reports, search warrants, photographs, audio recordings,		
	CTIBLE ATION DECARDING EVEL UP AND TAKE		

and cell phone extractions. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- Defense counsel desire additional time to consult with their clients regarding b) discovery and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Defense counsel believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 24, 2023 to May 11, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 4. Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 11, 2023

26

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney

## Case 2:22-cr-00210-DJC Document 68 Filed 04/12/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: April 11, 2023	/s/ HANNAH LABAREE HANNAH LABAREE
2		Counsel for Defendant
		Julio Nevarez-Erunez
3 4	Dated: April 11, 2023	/s/ DINA SANTOS
5		DINA SANTOS Counsel for Defendant
6		Juan Niebla-Osuna
7		
8		
9	ORDER	
10	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 11th day of April, 2023.	
11		/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta
12		THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
13		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		